

Civil Judicial Cases with SEPs

CDs entered in 2018

U.S. and Miss. v. Chevron - response equipment
U.S. v. MPLX – fenceline monitoring; predictive leak monitoring
U.S. v. AG Processing – Enhanced tank monitoring
U.S. v. Indiana Harbor – lead abatement
U.S. v. MFA – electronic shut off technology
U.S. v. Markwest – share proprietary technology; ambient air monitoring
U.S. v. Martin Marietta – replace a haul truck with a Tier 1 engine w/ a Tier 4 engine
U.S. v. ExxonMobil – Phyto-pollution
U.S. v. Kinder Morgan – enhanced flare
U.S. v. Middletown – capping of specified area of sediment
U.S. v. Gary – remove invasive species
U.S. v. Starkist – emergency response equipment
U.S. v. Lancaster – daylight stream segments

U.S. v. ExxonMobil – emergency response equipment (not yet entered)

CDs entered in 2017

U.S. v. Premcor – lead abatement
U.S. Harcross – foam-based fire suppression
U.S. New York – urine separation system; constructed wetlands; nitrogen-reducing technology
U.S. v. U.S. Steel – replacement of lighting ballasts, roadside vegetation; street sweeper
U.S. v. Bayer CropScience – emergency response equipment
U.S. v. New York Racing Ass’n – tree planting
U.S. v. Citgo – fenceline monitoring; more efficient lighting
U.S. v. Total Petroleum – artificial reef modules